



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,749	03/29/2004	Daniel G. O'Neil	50037.223US01	2821
27488 7590 01/15/2008 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT) P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER SABOURI, MAZDA	
			ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/15/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,749	O'NEIL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mazda Sabouri	2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mazda Sabouri. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ryan T. Grace. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8 and 16.


Identification of prior art discussed: US 2005/0159189 (Iyer) and US 2003/0050058 (Walsh et al.).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
 Rafael Perez-Gutierrez  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner  
 Technology Center 2600  
 Art Unit 2617

1/14/08

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential amendments to claims 8 and 16, as well as the prior art that was applied to claims 1, 8 and 16. Examiner noted the paragraphs 31 and 32 of Iyer taught a transparent window (reading on a second display) that shows a single item of a list that is implicitly displayed on a primary display. Examiner noted that potential amendments provided for claim 8 would overcome the prior art of record, because claim 8 recites an application program retrieving first level of information in response to accessing the primary display. In Iyer, it is implied that the full list on the primary display is already retrieved prior to accessing the primary display. Examiner noted that a new search would be needed for the proposed amendment to claim 8. Examiner further noted that claims 1 and 16, as provided to examiner, would not overcome prior art of record.